



# Legislative UPDATE

October 20, 2017  
Number 41

## **Months of Fuzzy Math Hid State's Property Tax Shift**

For nearly two decades, proponents of revenue caps have been pushing for legislation that would lower city rollback rates and require mandatory property tax rate elections. That push became more intense than ever in the last several months.

Beginning with the appointment of the interim Senate Select Committee on Property Tax Reform and Relief in 2016, and culminating (for now) with Senate leadership deciding not to concur with a modified revenue cap bill adopted by the House of Representatives during the special legislative session, legislators advocating for revenue caps have recently shown a disturbing willingness to use misleading (and even outright faulty) data to attempt to bolster their position.

Let's take a look at some of the more notable examples of statistical malpractice from the past several months.


### **Total Property Tax Levy vs. Median Household Income**

Last interim, the Senate Select Committee on Property Tax Reform and Relief held several hearings around the state. The committee displayed charts showing that the total amount of city property taxes levied statewide increased 60 percent from 2005 to 2014, while median household income increased 26 percent.

## LOCAL TAXES VS. HOUSEHOLD INCOMES

### Statewide

Since 2005, city and county property tax levies have increased much faster than median household incomes



Sources: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts; U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) Historical Nominal Median Household Income

As it turned out, that comparison proved meaningless. The total increase in city tax levies should not be compared with the average increase in household income. Why not? Put simply, there's no relationship between median household income and the growth in total tax collections caused, in large part, by new construction. Texas continues to lead the nation in population growth. With population and economic growth comes new construction, and along with it come higher total tax levies. Trying to compare a total to an average is an "apples to oranges" comparison.

In addition to understanding that the total levy figure includes new growth, the total levy figure also encompasses property taxes collected on single-family property, multi-family property, commercial property, industrial property, vacant property, oil and gas production property, and other types of taxable property. For the committee to compare a ten-year increase in total property tax levy from all of those sources with median household income in order to argue that individual homeowners are being taxed out of their homes was absolutely misleading because the tax burden doesn't fall wholly on residential homeowners.


On January 30, the Dallas Morning News ran an article written by Data and Enterprise Reporter David McSwane. The article points out these misleading arguments used by proponents of revenue caps. For his article, McSwane interviewed independent economists and fiscal analysts. They called the committee's comparison "fallacious" and "worse than meaningless." The article also includes a true "apples to apples" comparison using federal

data showing that personal income has actually outpaced property tax bills in Texas (see chart below). The [article](#) is a must-read for city officials.

## Taxes vs. Income since 2005

The growth of personal income has slightly outpaced property tax growth.

Growth since 2005



## Texas' Tax Burden Compared to Other States

The interim property tax committee also cited a map during its many hearings showing that Texas ranked high in local property taxes compared to all other states. That is absolutely true. Most surveys show Texas ranking from sixth to 13th in a state ranking of property taxes. But it leaves out a very important fact: Most surveys show Texas ranking in the bottom half ([some as low as 46th](#)) in a ranking of *total* state and local tax burden.

Making a big deal about one component of the tax burden is misleading at best. In fact, it misses the point that Texas, more than any other state, relies on local governments to provide services. And the legislature has chosen the property tax as the primary means of paying for those services, particularly with regard to the public school system.

## Overstated Tax Relief from Revenue Caps


In the waning days of the regular legislative session, a revenue cap proponent made the statement that revenue cap legislation would “save the average homeowner in Texas \$20,000 a year over the next 20 years or so.” His staff later claimed that he actually meant \$20,000 cumulatively over the next 20 years.

Either way, Politifact Texas [concluded](#) that the statement was not only false, but “ridiculous.” According to the article, even the revised statement about cumulative savings contained “major flaws” and is “at best, a wild guess.” The statement earned the label of “Pants on

Fire,” which is reserved for only the most outrageously false claims. Essentially, the statement assumed that cities would be raising property tax rates to the rollback rate every year, an assumption that isn’t supported by historical data. Comptroller data shows that in recent years over 60 percent of Texas cities have not adopted tax rates that would exceed the lowered proposed rollback rate of five percent.

### Growth in City Property Taxes versus School Property Taxes

During the 2017 special legislative session last summer, the author of S.B. 1 (the revenue cap bill) repeatedly stated that school property taxes have only increased 39.5 percent from 2005 to 2015, while city property taxes have increased by 71 percent over the same period. Here is the chart he showed to justify that statement:



What’s interesting is that he begins measuring the increase in property taxes in 2005. Conveniently for him, 2005 was the year before the legislature significantly overhauled school finance by revamping the state franchise tax to fund public education. This opened the door for local school districts to lower their maintenance and operations tax rates significantly as that revenue was replaced by the new “margins tax.” In 2006, as intended, school property taxes dropped significantly because of action taken by the legislature. To include this artificial and anomalous drop in school tax levy in the comparison to city property tax levies over the same time period significantly skews the numbers. Doing so

makes it deceptively appear as though city taxes were and are increasing at a much faster rate.

Using a more appropriate comparison of property tax levies from 2007 to 2015 paints a very different picture. During that time frame, school district property taxes increased by 49 percent, and city property taxes increased by 42 percent. In other words, an “apples to apples” comparison shows that school district property taxes have increased faster than city property taxes:

**Total Property Tax Levies (in millions of dollars)**

**2007 – 2015**

<b>Year</b>	<b>School District</b>	<b>City</b>
2007	\$ 18,874.2	\$ 5,890.3
2008	\$ 21,233.5	\$ 6,451.0
2009	\$ 21,780.1	\$ 6,593.8
2010	\$ 21,558.3	\$ 6,755.4
2011	\$ 22,001.6	\$ 6,810.0
2012	\$ 23,072.8	\$ 7,055.0
2013	\$ 24,854.7	\$ 7,324.4
2014	\$ 26,792.7	\$ 7,828.6
2015	\$ 28,176.5	\$ 8,380.4
<b>Total Increase</b>	<b>+\$ 9,302.3</b> <b>(49.3%)</b>	<b>+\$ 2,490.1</b> <b>(42.3%)</b>

*Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts*

School district property taxes are thus increasing faster than city property taxes on a percentage basis. But comparing real dollar amounts, as opposed to percentages, makes the increase in school district property taxes compared to city property taxes even more stark.

In real taxpayer dollars, the 49 percent increase in school district property taxes from 2007 to 2015 collectively cost Texas taxpayers \$9.3 billion. The 42 percent increase in total city property tax levies over the same period cost Texas taxpayers \$2.4 billion. In real dollar figures, school district property taxes have increased over three times as much city property taxes.

The heavily reliance on misinformation over the last several months begs the question – why have some legislators and state officials been willing to embrace these faulty figures? And why now?

To answer those questions, one needs to look no further than the state’s budget. The state budget bill, S.B. 1, anticipates an estimated \$6.9 billion increase in local school district property taxes during the 2018-2019 biennium to fund the Foundation School Program. Because the local school districts’ share of funding increases so dramatically, the state will decrease the amount of state funds it allocates towards the Foundation School Program by \$800 million over the same time frame.

The chart below tracks the state’s share of funding as compared to funding from local property taxes. By 2019, the state’s share of funding the Foundation School Program is estimated to reach a low of 38.2 percent. A decade ago, the state picked up 46 percent of the tab for local schools. In short, the state is essentially forcing local school districts to increase property taxes to make up for the portion of school finance the state is no longer funding.

**State and Local Share of Foundation School Program Entitlement  
and State Share Percentage  
Fiscal Years 2009 – 2019**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Local (\$Billions)</b>	<b>State (\$Billions)</b>	<b>Total (\$Billions)</b>	<b>% State Share</b>
<b>2009</b>	\$ 19.7	\$ 16.5	\$ 36.2	45.5%
<b>2010</b>	\$ 20.3	\$ 17.7	\$ 38.0	46.6%
<b>2011</b>	\$ 20.2	\$ 18.6	\$ 38.8	48.0%
<b>2012</b>	\$ 20.5	\$ 17.4	\$ 37.9	45.9%
<b>2013</b>	\$ 21.4	\$ 17.4	\$ 38.8	44.9%
<b>2014</b>	\$ 22.8	\$ 18.8	\$ 41.6	45.1%
<b>2015</b>	\$ 24.4	\$ 18.8	\$ 43.2	43.5%
<b>2016</b>	\$ 25.6	\$ 19.8	\$ 45.4	43.6%
<b>2017</b>	\$ 27.0	\$ 19.4	\$ 46.4	41.7%
<b>2018</b>	\$ 28.8	\$ 19.4	\$ 48.2	40.2%
<b>2019</b>	\$ 30.7	\$ 19.0	\$ 49.7	38.2%

Source: *Center for Public Policy Priorities using Legislative Budget Board [data](#) and Comptroller’s 2017 Certification Revenue [Estimate](#), Table A-10, Foundation School Program*

The following is actual language on public education funding from the state budget bill that was passed by the Legislature in May:

*“Property values, and the estimates of local tax collections on which they are based, shall be increased by 7.04 percent for tax year 2017 and by 6.77 percent for tax year 2018.”*

To make up for reduced state money going to school funding, the legislature passed, and the governor signed, a budget essentially requiring that property values – and the school property taxes derived from them – go up by almost fourteen percent over the next two years.

The recent reliance on questionable math to blame cities for property tax increases serves as a shiny object to divert attention away from the mounting evidence that the state legislature’s inaction on funding public education is the driving force behind property tax increases in Texas. Politically, it’s much easier for some in the legislature to blame cities for high property taxes and tap into voters’ perpetual distaste for taxes by skewing data to fit that narrative.

The legislature came closer to passing a revenue cap bill in 2017 than ever before. Different versions of revenue cap legislation passed both chambers during both the regular and special legislative sessions. Ultimately the House and Senate couldn't agree on the details.

On the surface, it's somewhat surprising that, when presented with the House version of revenue caps in the special session, the Senate proponents of revenue caps decided not to just concur and declare victory after years of pushing for the legislation. But given the reality behind the state legislature's involvement in increasing school property taxes, the decision to reject the House's legislation can best be analogized to the dog that finally catches the mail truck.

After all, once it was conclusively established that state-mandated limitations on city property taxes would not lower property taxes overall, who would those legislators then have to blame?

*TML member cities may use the material herein for any purpose.  
No other person or entity may reproduce, duplicate, or distribute  
any part of this document without the written authorization of the  
Texas Municipal League.*